IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI WESTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)
V.) Case No. 05-00344-02-CR-W-ODS
STEVEN SANDSTROM,)
Defendant.)

ORDER OVERRULING DEFENDANT'S OBJECTIONS, ADOPTING THE MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION, AND DENYING DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR MULTIPLICITY

On May 11, 2005, the Honorable Robert E. Larsen, United States Magistrate Judge for the Western District of Missouri, issued his Report and Recommendation ("the Report") recommending the Court deny Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Counts One Through Six Based on Multiplicity. Defendant has filed a timely objection, and the Court has reviewed the Report and parties' submissions on the matter; having done so, the Court adopts the Report as the Order of the Court and denies Defendant's Motion to Dismiss.

The Report is comprehensive and well-written, and nothing needs to be added to its discussion of the issues. The only issue raised in Defendant's Objection that is not addressed by the Report is Defendant's contention Judge Larsen erred in failing to conduct a hearing on his motion. The undersigned's October 14, 2005 Order of Reference delegated authority to Judge Larsen to "hear and process all pretrial motions to (1) dismiss or quash the indictment, and (2) suppress evidence" Defendant argues this phrase mandated that a hearing be held on his motion. The Court disagrees. A hearing is necessary when factual findings are necessary. Absent a need for factual findings, there is no need to develop a factual record. Defendant's Motion to Dismiss does not depend on the resolution of factual matters; it requires resolution of purely legal matters. The Court's Order of Reference is not intended to require a hearing where it is unnecessary for the resolution of the matter at hand. Judge Larsen

certainly had the discretion to entertain oral argument on the motion, but the Court's Order of Reference did not require him to take this course.

Defendant's objections are overruled, the Report is adopted as the Order of the Court, and the Motion to Dismiss Counts One Through Six Based on Multiplicity is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Ortrie D. Smith
ORTRIE D. SMITH, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DATE: August 21, 2006